

futureearth
research for global sustainability

Report from Group C



Question 1: Disciplinary versus transdisciplinary

- It is disciplinary AND transdisciplinary.
- Transdisciplinarity not suitable in all contexts
- New way of thinking about local problems in a global context
- Link global to local at the regional scale

Report from Group C



Question 1: Disciplinary versus transdisciplinary

- Different models for co-design depending on topic
- Stakeholder engagement vs. scientific integrity; code of conduct
- Universities as an obstacle to transdisciplinary science

Report from Group C



Question 2: Given the framework presented, how could Future Earth contribute to existing research priorities in Europe?

- Europe is more than the EU
- Future Earth should influence (rather than adapt to) European research priorities
- Regions can link local to global
- Future Earth design is weak on regions

Report from Group C



Question 3: What kind of new research activities would you like to see conducted within Future Earth in Europe?

- Institutional economic and behavioural changes
- Policy and technological innovations
- Food systems

Report from Group C



Question 4: What kind of outreach or other activities would you like to see conducted within Future Earth in Europe?

- Engage young scientists (e.g. IPY, Academies of Young Scientists, DFG Young Scientists Networking Conferences)
- Identify the right stakeholders
- Engage private sector at project level

Report from Group C



Question 5: What would success look like for Future Earth in Europe? What would success indicators be?

- Lack of definition of Future Earth
- 5-10 years to see impact of research
- Need to define impact pathways
- Seeing an impact in EU FP
- How many and which partners involved?



ICSU

International Council for Science

